Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/810,278	OHTA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
•	Jefferson A. Evans	2627
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>Jefferson A. Evans (PTO)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David B. Raczkowski (52,145)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 December 2006</u>	Time: <u>12:30</u>	·
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	•
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 6-8 Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Phlyn Evan		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		

Annlicant(c)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: agreed to renumber claims 6 to 8 to depend from claim 1 rather than canceled claim 5 (the subject matter of which was moved into claim 1). With these amendments made via Examiner's Amendment the application is in condition for allowance and prosecution is closed..